UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

AKIL ROBBINS,

Petitioner,

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY PETITION
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED

v.

G.W. LEWIS,

Respondent.

On September 15, 2013, Petitioner filed a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, seeking to challenge his May 2008 conviction and sentence for murder with gang and gun allegations. (Petition at 2.) In the Petition, he claims that his right to confrontation was denied, there was insufficient evidence to support his conviction, the trial court erred in admitting prejudicial gang testimony, and defense counsel provided ineffective assistance. (Petition at 5-6 and Exhs. A-D.) For the following reasons, Petitioner is ordered to show cause why his Petition should not be dismissed because it is time-barred.

State prisoners seeking to challenge their state convictions in federal habeas corpus proceedings are subject to a one-year statute of limitations. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d). Here, Petitioner's conviction became final on May 31, 2011--90 days after the state supreme court

denied his petition for review and the time expired for him to file a petition for writ of certiorari with the United States Supreme Court. See, e.g., Brambles v. Duncan, 412 F.3d 1066, 1069 (9th Cir. 2005). Therefore, the statute of limitations expired one year later, on May 31, 2012. See Patterson v. Stewart, 251 F.3d 1243, 1246 (9th Cir. 2001). Petitioner, however, did not file this Petition until September 15, 2013, more than 15 months after the deadline.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that, no later than **October 30, 2013**, Petitioner shall inform the Court in writing why this case should not be dismissed with prejudice because it is barred by the statute of limitations. Failure to timely file a response will result in a recommendation that this case be dismissed.

DATED: <u>September 30, 2013</u>

/ac

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE